

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

These Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed December 13, 2005. The Office Action rejected claims 1-22 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,777,331, and allowed claims 23-25.

Applicant respectfully submits a terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/18/06

By: 

Sheldon R. Meyer
Reg. No. 27,660

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800